

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 WILLIAM McKNIGHT, et al.,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 NOBU HOSPITALITY GROUP, LLC,

11 Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02643-APG-PAL

ORDER

(Mot. Ext. Time – ECF No. 35;
Mot. Compel – ECF No. 39)

12 This matter is before the court on Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Time re: Discovery
13 Deadlines (ECF No. 35) and Motion to Compel Discovery (ECF No. 39). These Motions are
14 referred to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and LR IB 1-3 of the Local Rules
15 of Practice.

16 On November 9, 2017, the court held a hearing on Plaintiffs' Motions. Present was Yianna
17 Reizakis for Plaintiffs and James Olson and Thomas Dillard for Defendant. The court has
18 considered the Motions, Defendant's Responses (ECF Nos. 37, 40), Plaintiffs' Replies (ECF
19 Nos. 38, 45), and the arguments of counsel at the hearing. Both sides agree that further discovery
20 should be stayed until the district judge decides defendant's pending motion to reconsider which
21 addresses whether plaintiffs should be permitted to amend their complaint to name the
22 owner/operator of the hotel room where the incident giving rise to the complaint occurred, as the
23 outcome may be dispositive of plaintiffs' lawsuit.

24 Accordingly,

25 **IT IS ORDERED:**

- 26 1. Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Time re: Discovery Deadlines (ECF No. 35) and Motion
27 to Compel Discovery (ECF No. 39) are **DENIED without prejudice**.
28

